Fluid therapy in critically ill patients; poison or Panacea



Dr.A.Saeed, Ped.intensivist







international fluid academy

• fluids are not innocent bags of water,







Study the past, if you would divine the future.

Confucius

PictureQuotes.me

- In 19th century;<u>R. Hermann proposed injecting water;then</u>
 <u>Jaehnichen</u> subsequently injected a cholera patient intravenously with
 6 oz. of water, which resulted in a notable improvement in the
- patient's pulse; but ...



The history of fluid therapy

• Thomas Aitchison Latta (1796 – 19 October 1833) invented the intravenous saline infusion in 1832.

		-
	Latta's saline solution (1 L)	Modern day normal saline (1 L)
Sodium chloride Sodium bicarbonate Sodium concentration Chloride concentration	2.3–3.5 g 0.8 g 49.6–69.8 mEq/L 40.5–60.7 mEq/L	9 g Nil 154 mEq/L 154 mEq/L

nuid. ND Latta's, solution is very hypotonic compared with blood



What is knowledge?







- Ernest Starling recognized at the turn of the last century that the heart can only pump out what comes back to it.
- At the end of the 19th century, Frank found that ventricular contractility was increased if the ventricle was stretched prior to contraction
- Starling and colleagues found that increasing venous return increased stroke volume.
- The Starling Principle states that fluid movements between blood and the tissue are determined by differences in hydrostatic and colloid osmotic pressures between plasma inside the microvessels and fluid outside them. (revised starling principle in 2012...)

This concept was later developed further by Arthur Guyton and Solbert Permutt;

compliance, capacitance, stressed volume, and resistance to flow;

A central axiom in the circulation is that vascular volume is constant under steady state conditions. This volume stretches the elastic walls of the vasculature structure and creates an elastic recoil force that is present even when there is no flow but is also a key determinant of flow

The Guyton model suggests that the flow of blood returning to the heart is mainly driven by this mean systemic filling pressure. This is the pressure blood flows from, and CVP is the pressure it flows to, overcoming venous vascular resistance in the process. Cardiac output, in this model, plays no role in determining the pressure in the venous circulation.



- In 1955 <u>*Dr. Arthur Guyton*</u>; the factors that influence venous return physiology:three variables all of which independently affect venous return. These factors include: the right atrial pressure, the mean systemic pressure (Pms) and the vascular resistance.
- The <u>Pms</u> is the driving pressure competing against right atrial pressure to create a gradient that promotes forward flow. It is essentially the pressure measured in the vascular system if all blood flow were to cease
- The <u>Pms</u> is determined by the total volume of blood present in the venous system, and the intrinsic compliance of the vascular bed



- The potential energy of this elastic recoil becomes evident when there is no flow in the circulation and large veins are opened to atmospheric pressure. Vascular volume empties from the veins even without cardiac contractions. The heart adds a pulsatile component to this static potential energy which redistributes the volume according to the compliances and resistances entering and draining each elastic compartment of the circulation.
- As already discussed, when the vasculature is filled with a normal blood volume but there is no flow, the vasculature still has a pressure and this pressure is the same in all compartments of the circulation. It is called mean circulatory filling pressure (MCFP) and is determined by the total stressed volume in the circulation and the sum of the compliances of all regions, including the pulmonary and cardiac compartments

- Mean circulatory filling pressure (MCFP) is the pressure that would be measured at all points in the entire circulatory system if the heart were stopped suddenly and the blood were redistributed instantaneously in such a manner that all pressures were equal.
- Mean systemic filling pressure (MSFP) is the pressure in only the systemic circuit, i.e. ignoring the heart and pulmonary circulation, also in the absence of flow. this is the pressure which is thought to push blood towards the right atrium along a pressure gradient.
- Mean cardiopulmonary filling pressure (MCPFP) is the mean pressure in the motionless cardiac chambers and the pulmonary circulation. It is usually about 3 mmHg higher. It is usually about 3 mmHg higher than the MSFP, mainly because of the higher elastance of the cardiac chambers.
- The main determinants of MCFP and MSFP are total blood volume and venous resistance





- The "unstressed" volume is said to be a volume of fluid (presumably, blood) in the circulatory system which does not produce any "stress" on the walls, i.e. where measuring the MSFP would yield a pressure of 0 mmHg. According to Young (2010) and Magder (2016), "unstressed volume" describes about 85% of the total venous blood volume
- *stressed volume*" is therefore "the volume of blood that must be removed from the vasculature to decrease the transmural pressure of the vessels from the existing value to zero
- in a circulation with minimal sympathetic tone, only about 15% of the total blood volume is contributing to generating the MSFP.
- It is about **4** *CC/Kg* or **300** *CC* in NL sized human or 6% total circulation volume



Let us examine this model in a clinical setting such as septic shock. The hypotension observed in <u>Sepsis</u> is typically a distributive process. Essentially the vascular bed has vasodilated causing a relative hypovolemia. The total volume status is unchanged, but the vasodilation has caused an increase in the vascular compliance. This shifts a portion of the stressed volume to an unstressed state<u>(mal-distribution)</u>, leading to a decrease in the Pms, and in turn the venous return.

In the hopes of correcting the physiologic perturbations induced by the septic state, it is not uncommon to attempt to implement changes by manipulating the stressed volume. Typically this is done in two fashions.
 First, one can add to the total volume of the system (in the form of a fluid bolus), which will increase both the stressed volume and the total volume. Second, one can promote a reduction in the vessel wall compliance (with the addition of vasopressor agents), causing a change in the ratio of volume in the stressed volume decreases and the stressed volume increases.

• Now instead let us examine the effects of hemorrhagic shock on the stressed and unstressed volume. In acute blood loss the total volume will be reduced, leading to a decrease in the stressed volume.

- In the initial phases of compensated shock the body attempts to adapt for this loss with a catecholamineinduced venoconstriction. This compensatory measure decreases the compliance of the venous system shifting blood from the unstressed to the stressed volume, increasing the Pms and temporarily maintaining the venous return. If bleeding is not controlled, blood loss will outpace these compensatory venoconstrictive efforts. At this point further attempts to augment preload through the shifting of unstressed to stressed volume will not improve venous return. Volume replacement is now required. The replacement of lost blood with blood products, is an attempt to restore both the total volume and stressed volume to a more physiologic state.
- Early use of low dose vasopressors (norepinephrine at 5 mcg/min) will result in a venoconstrictive effect, decreasing venous compliance, and shifting fluids from the unstressed venous beds to the useable stressed volume.
- Applying Guyton's approach, to increase venous return means decreasing central venous pressure (CVP, the backward pressure) or increasing Pmsf.

Oliguria ;and increased lactate as tissue hypoperfusion

- Fluid resuscitation in septic shock is an effective intervention to increase venous return, and thus cardiac output (CO) and oxygen transport
- As only 50–60% of the patients in the early phase of septic shock are fluid responders, and 25% may already be fluid unresponsive after an initial fluid resuscitation

in an ovine model of hyperdynamic septic shock, oliguria occurred despite dramatic increases in both cardiac output and renal artery blood flow . These observations strongly suggest that oliguria is not a function of decreased renal perfusion during sepsis.



- Semiinvasive- transpulmonary thermodilution, or chemodilution
 - pulse contour analysis
- Noninvasive- echography
 - bioimpedance, plethysmography
 capnography
- Direct measurement of exhaled gas
- Estimate with equations and nomograms



"ENOUGH WITH THE PLEASANTRIES, LET'S MAKE A PLAN"

ANTERARBER



Longer than necessary (15%) No underfilling (15%) Wrong fluid (10%) Wrong dose (10%)

ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION

Unnecessary Use of IV Fluids in Hospitalized Patients

Current Patterns of Misuse With an Emphasis on the contraindications and overdosing

Manu LNG Malbrein, et al.

Jarok Intern Med 2020; 188: 1-9 7

50%?



The 7 Ds of Fluid Therapy

D1: Definitions D2: Diagnosis D3: Drug D4: Dose D5: Duration D6: De-escalation D7: Discharge

Fluid stewardship



D1:



- Hypovolemia
- EAFM
- EGDT
- Ebb phase
- MCFP
- MCPFP
- Bolus vs mini-bolus
- Evacuation
- PLR,EEOT

*Hypervolemia#vol.overload *LCFM *LGDFR *flow phase **ISFP** stressed vol#unstressed vol. fluid challenge *RRT *Lidco vs PiCCO

Definitions of Fluid Therapy

- Fluid Balance: Daily fluid balance is the daily sum of all intakes and outputs, and the cumulative fluid balance is the sum total of fluid accumulation over a set period of time
- Fluid Overload: Dividing the cumulative fluid balance in litres by patient's baseline body weight and multiplying by 100% defines the percentage of fluid accumulation
 - Fluid overload is defined by a cut-off value of 10% of fluid accumulation, as this is associated with worse outcomes
- Early Adequate Fluid Management (EAFM):
 - Goal-directed treatment
 - On average, 30 mL/kg within first 1-3 hours (SSCG)
- Late Conservative Fluid Management (LCFM):
 - 2 consecutive days of negative fluid balance within the first week of ICU stay
- Late Goal-Directed Fluid Removal (LGFR):
 - Active fluid removal by means of diuretics or renal replacement therapy with net ultrafiltration
 - Referred to as de-escalation or de-resuscitation

- EAFM: early adequate fluid management, defined as fluid
- intake > 50 mL/kg/first 12–24 h of ICU stay.
- ECFM: early conservative fluid management, defined as fluid intake < 25 mL/kg/first 12–24 h of ICU stay.



• Fluid overload

- As often described in pediatric populations, the percentage
- of fluid accumulation is calculated by dividing
- the cumulative fluid balance in liters by the patient's
- baseline body weight and multiplying by 100%. Fluid
- overload at any stage is defined by a cut-off value of
- 10% of fluid accumulation, as this is associated with
- worse outcomes

Late goal-directed fluid removal involves aggressive and active fluid removal using diuretics and renal replacement therapy with net ultrafiltration.

- Late conservative fluid management describes a moderate fluid management strategy following the initial treatment in order to avoid (or reverse) fluid overload.
- *LLFM: late liberal fluid management,* defined as the absence of 2 consecutive negative daily fluid balances within first week of ICU stay



WHAT: Definitions

- Global Increased Pemeability Syndrome (GIPS):
 - Combination of persistent positive cumulative fluid balance
 - Together with new onset organ failure
 - It is characterised by the absence of transgression from Ebb to Flow phase of shock
- Acute Intestinal Distress Syndrome (AIDS):
 Confusing term may refer to HIV
 - Re-classified as Acute Gastro-intesinal Injury (AGI)














"The application of what we already know will have a bigger impact than any drug or fluid or technology likely to be introduced in the next decade."



Hypovolemia Is Bad, But Hypervolemia Is Even Worse



FLUID MANAGEMENT IN AKI

Fluid therapy: Volume









Clinical	Biochemical	Imaging			
 Body weight Fluid balance Cumulative FB Pitting edema 2nd and 3rd space fluid accumulation (orthopnee) JVP and HJR Capillary refill 	 AKI, urinanalysis Urine albumin/creat ratio Dilutional anemia Low albumin, protein Infection, inflammation Increased CLI (CRP/alb) Low osmol, COP BNP and NT-pro-BNP 	 Cardiomegaly, congestive hill, Kerley B-lines, pleural effusions, lung edema Ascites E/e', LVOT VTI E/e', LVOT VTI IVVCI (IVC > 2.5cm) LA volume >34ml/m2 B-lines, comet-tail 			
Hemodynamic	Organ Eunction	Other (DIA FIT)			
riemouynamie	Organ Function	Other (BIA, EIT)			

clinical signs of hypovolemia



Laboratory signs of hypovolemia

- ↓SCVO2, ↑ Lactate
- 个Albumin leak index(urine albumin/urine cr. Ratio)
- \uparrow Hct and Hb
- 个serum Na
- ↑total pr. And Alb.
- ↑serum osmolality,and COP
- \downarrow BNP and Pro-BNP
- In urine:↑osm. ↓Na





Does the Central Venous Pressure Predict Fluid Responsiveness? An Updated Meta-Analysis and a Plea for Some Common Sense*

Paul E. Marik, MD, FCCM¹; Rodrigo Cavallazzi, MD²

Crit Care Med 2013; 41:1774-81

43 articles 1802 patients AUC = 0.56

There are no data to support the widespread practice of using central venous pressure to guide fluid therapy. This approach to fluid resuscitation should be abandoned.

Venous Excess Ultrasound VExUS



Invasive monitorings





"It's OK, this is a teaching hospital. Some people just have to learn that the hard way."



Cellular Bioenergetic (Metabolic) Failure





The vicious cycle of septic shock resuscitation





Tests to assess Response to IV fluid

- **Static surrogates**: parameters are often used to titrate fluid therapy(pre-load)
- -central venous pressure (CVP :8-12 mmHg)
- -Mean arterial pressure (MAP)
- -Urine output(>0.5-1 cc/KG/Hr)
- -Volumetric pre-load(GEDVI,RVEDVI,LVEDAI)

Dynamic functional hemodynamic parameters

-PPV(or SVV)

Dynamic tests to predict response to IVF

-PLR or EXOT

Frank-Starling Relationship



- The foundation of fluid resuscitation
- In patients with shock, there is tissue hypoperfusion and decreased cardiac output;Fluid administration can be beneficial if it increases stroke volume, and therefore, cardiac output.
- Increased stroke volume leads to increased end-diastolic volume and mean circulating filling pressure, thereby increasing preload and causing volume expansion.
- The goal in shock is to steer patients onto the ascending limb of the Frank Starling curve.
- When fluid administration does not increase stroke volume, there are potential harms.
- Excess fluid administration can lead to tissue edema and ultimately, tissue hypoxia and organ dysfunction.

- Fluid responsiveness indicates a condition in which a patient will respond to fluid administration by a significant increase in stroke volume and/or cardiac output or their surrogates. A threshold of 15% is most often used for this definition, as it is the least significant change of measurements of the techniques that are often used to estimate cardiac output
- only one half of patients in ICUs with circulatory failure respond to an increase in cardiac output

- Many septic patients have preceding heart failure, about 54% with diastolic dysfunction and 23% with systolic dysfunction, which can be worsened by fluid administration (Landesberg 2012). Over-stretching of the left ventricle (LV) causes impaired LV dilation, leading to pulmonary edema, pulmonary hypertension, and right ventricular dysfunction. In fact, diastolic dysfunction was associated with worse outcomes than systolic dysfunction (Landesberg 2012).
- A fluid challenge is necessary to determine whether fluid administration will benefit a patient.
- A patient is considered to be fluid responsive if their stroke volume increases by at least 10% after fluid administration (usually 500cc of crystalloids) as quickly as possible (usually over 10 minutes).
- Only patients who are fluid responsive should receive additional fluids.
- Patients who have decreased systolic or diastolic function (on the descending limb of the Frank Starling curve) will not respond to a fluid challenge, even if they are intravascularly depleted.

2. Clinical signs, the chest radiograph, the CVP, and ultrasonography cannot be used to determine fluid responsiveness

- Clinical signs can indicate tissue hypoperfusion, but not fluid responsiveness.
- Traditional indicators, such as CVP monitoring and mean arterial pressures (MAP), are not accurate enough.
- Ultrasound is a potential new tool that can be used to assess volume status and fluid responsiveness.
- For volume status, there have been studies looking at IVC caliber in ventilated patients, but this has yet to be validated in spontaneously breathing patients. Lee presented an evidence-informed algorithm based on the available literature to guide fluid resuscitation decisions (Lee 2016).
- For fluid responsiveness, transthoracic measurements of LV outflow tract velocities for the estimation of stroke volume require considerable expertise and not easily or rapidly obtainable.
- Marik suggests alternative methods, such as pulse pressure change measured by radial aline, LVOT velocity time integral (VTI) on echo, carotid Doppler flow on transesophageal echo, pulse contour analysis, thoracic bioimpedance, b



3. The PLR Maneuver or a fluid challenge coupled with real-time SV monitoring is the only accurate method to date for determining fluid responsiveness

- Passive leg raise (PLR) and fluid challenge are two ways to determine fluid responsiveness, especially when used with real-time cardiac output monitors.
- PLR causes a shift in venous blood from the lower extremities to the thoracic compartment; it is essentially an auto-fluid bolus.

Advantages of PLR:

- Reversible
- Non-invasive
- Amount of fluid mobilized is proportional to body size
- Can be used regardless of ventilation mode and cardiac rhythm (Cavallaro 2010)
- Disadvantages of PLR:
- Positional changes may be contraindicated
- Less useful in patients with elevated intra-abdominal pressures
- Need to stop other interventions during this maneuver



4. The hemodynamic response to a fluid challenge is usually small and short lived

- The response to a fluid challenge is usually short lived; within 30 minutes, the cardiac index is usually back to baseline.
- The increase in MAP following a fluid challenge is minimal; fluid boluses should not be given if they do not increase stroke volume and cardiac output.

5. Fluid responsiveness does not equate to the need for fluid boluses

- Patients should only receive fluid boluses if the hemodynamic benefits are likely to outweigh the risks of becoming overloaded.
- As stated previously, the effects of fluid boluses are short-lived and minimal, and continuous fluid resuscitation in these patients will cause fluid overload.
- Instead, vasopressors and inotropes should be used early, which will increase organ perfusion while limiting tissue edema.



6. A high CVP is a major factor compromising organ perfusion

- An organ's blood flow is determined by the MAP and CVP. Our current guidelines recommend targeting a CVP greater than 8mm Hg.
- When the CVP is greater than 8mm Hg, certain organs, like the kidney, develop increased renal pressure leading to decreased renal blood flow and acute kidney injury.



JUST BECAUSE YOU ARE FIUID RESPONSIVE DOESA'T MEAN YOU NEED FIUIDS

D3:



• IV Fluids are not innocent bags of water;

```
• They should consider as: "DRUGS"
```

And

edema is akin to a drug overdose

Treating Fluids as Drugs: Four Questions About Fluid Therapy





Solution	Osmolarity	pH	Na⁺	Cl ⁻	K ⁺	Ca ²⁺	Glucose	Other
NS	308	6.0	154	154	2 — 2			
½ NS	154	6.0	77	77	-		: - - (् स
3% NS	1026	5.0	513	513	-	-	-	
LR	273	6.5	130	109	4	3	_	lactate 28
Plasmalyte	294	7.4	140	98	5	3	-	acetate 27 gluconate 23
D ₅ W	253	4.5	-	=	-	-	50	1
D ₅ W ½ NS	432	4.0	77	77		223	50	8 <u>-</u>
D ₅ W LR	525	5.0	130	109	4	3	50	lactate 28
7.5% NaHCO ₃	1786	8.0	893	-	-	-		HCO ₃ 893
Albumin 5%	330	7.4	~145	-	≤2		2 .(albumin 50
Albumin 25%	330	7.4	~145	-	≤2	-	-	albumin 250
10% Dextran 40 in NS	308	4.0	154	154		3 — 3	s <u>-</u>	dextran 100
Hetastarch 6% in NS	308	5.9	154	154	-	-	-	hetastarch 60
Hypotonic								
Isotonic								
Hypertonic								
Osmolarity = mOsm/L								
Electrolytes = mEq/L								
Glucose, albumin = g/L								

*Though sometimes used interchangeably, molarity and molality are not the same. The units for molarity are moles/liters versus the units for osmolality are moles/kilogram.

(Ab)Normal saline

Figure 5. How much sodium is in...

a) <u>1 L of normal saline</u>

0.9% sodium chloride contains 154 meg of sodium per liter.

- 1 L normal saline x (154 meq/L) x (23 mg Na⁺/meq) = **3,542 mg Na⁺**
- A 1 oz. bag of Lay's[®] Classic potato chips contains 180 mg of sodium. Thus, 1 L of normal saline has about as much sodium as 20 bags of chips.



b) <u>1 kg of edema fluid</u>

If the serum $[Na^+]$ is 140 meq/L, and 1 meq $Na^+ = 23$ mg Na^+ , then...

1 L edema fluid x (140 meq/L) x (23 mg Na⁺/meq) = **3,220 mg Na⁺**

A single Oscar Mayer™ wiener contains 461 mg of sodium. Thus, 1 kg (or 1 L) of edema fluid has as much sodium as 7 hot dogs.


Indications for fluid administration

- 1.resuscitation
- 2.Maintenance
- 3.replacement
- 4.nutrition
- or a combination

Types of fluid therapy

- Resuscitation fluids(save life): are used to correct an intravascular volume deficit or acute hypovolemia
- replacement solutions: are prescribed to correct existing or developing deficits that cannot be compensated by oral intake alone
- maintenance solutions: are indicated in hemodynamically stable patients that are not able/allowed to drink water in order to cover their daily requirements of water and electrolytes
- Nutrition fluids: cover daily caloric needs
- fluid creep: fluids administered as drug diluents and to guarantee catheter patency

Different Fluid Types in the ICU



ORIGINAL

Maintenance fluid therapy and fluid creep impose more significant fluid, sodium, and chloride burdens than resuscitation fluids in critically ill patients: a retrospective study in a tertiary mixed ICU population

Niels Van Regenmortel^{1,2}*[®], Walter Verbrugghe¹, Ella Roelant³, Tim Van den Wyngaert^{4,5} and Philippe G. Jorens^{1,5}

© 2018 The Author(s)

Abstract

Purpose: Research on intravenous fluid therapy and its side effects, volume, sodium, and chloride overload, has focused almost exclusively on the resuscitation setting. We aimed to quantify all fluid sources in the ICU and assess fluid creep, the lidden and unintentional volume administered as a vehicle for medication or electrolytes.

Methods: We precisely recorded the volume, sodium, and chloride burdens imposed by every fluid source administered to 14,654 patients during the cumulative 103,098 days they resided in our 45-bed tertiary ICU and simulated the impact of important strategic fluid choices on patients' chloride burdens. In septic patients, we assessed the impact of the different fluid sources on cumulative fluid balance, an established marker of motividity.

Results: Maintenance and replacement fluids accounted for 24.7% of the mean duily total fluid volume, threnky far exceeding resuscitation fluids (6.5%) and were the most important sources of sodium and chloride. Fluid creep represented a striking 32.2% of the mean daily total fluid volume (median 6.6 m. IQR 300–1039 m.IL). Chloride levels can be more effectively reduced by adopting a hypotonic maintenance stategy (a daily difference in chloride burder of 30.8 mm (3%) col 30.5-111) (than a balanced resuscitation strategy (daily difference 3.8 mm (3%) col 2.9-3.1)). In septic patients, non-resuscitation fluids had a larger absolute impact on cumulative fluid balance than did esuscitation fluids.

Conclusions: Inadvertent daily volume, sodium, and chloride loading should be avoided when prescribing maintenance Ruids in view of the vast amounts of fluid creep. This is especially important when adopting an isotonic maintenance strategy.

Keywords: Fluid therapy, Maintenance fluids, Fluid overload, Fluid creep, Chloride, Sodium, Hyperchloremia

"Lorrespondence: mels vanregermortel@uza.be Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Antwerp University Hospital Wirkikstraat 10, 2650 Edegem, Antwerp, Belgium "uil author information is available at the end of the article



Choosing the best type of fluid



Drug

- Crystalloids versus colloids
- synthetic versus blood derived
- Balanced versus unbalanced
- intravenous versus oral
- osmolality, tonicity, pH, electrolyte composition (chloride,
- sodium, potassium, etc.) and levels of other metabolically
- active compounds (lactate, acetate, malate, etc.) are all equally important



Fluids in Surgery + Trauma

Balanced Blood Shelve Fluid strategy



IV FLUIDS

- prior AB
- duration MV
- corticosteroids
- recent hospitalisation
- nursing home

- fluid balance
- fluid overload
- capillary leak
- source control
- kidney function
- organ function

APPROPRIATE THERAPY

IV FLUIDS

- Organ failure ↑
- ICU LOS 1
- HOS LOS 1
- Duration MV 1

- Chloride ↑
- Metabolic acidosis
- AKI 个
- RRT 个
- Mortality 1

INAPPROPRIATE THERAPY

- Survival U (7%/hour delay)
- Needs discipline and practical organisation

EGDT beneficial in refractory shock Microcirculatory hypoperfusion /hour delay

IV FLUIDS

APPROPRIATE TIMING



D4:Dose

"All things are **poisons**, for there is nothing without poisonous qualities. It is only the *dose* which makes a thing poison."

pharmacokinetic

- distribution and/or elimination and excretion will be <u>slowed</u> in case of :
- shock
- hypotension(20%)
- sedation
- or general Anesthesia



- Type of fluids
- Where you want to fluid to go? (what medications do & how)

PHARMACODYNAMICS

MECHANISMS & EFFECTS of MEDICATIONS

ONE TA

100 M

- IV(resuscitation)
- IS
- IC(cellular dehydration)



Clinical factors (underlying conditions, kidney or liver failure, presence of capillary leak, acid–base equilibrium, albumin levels, fluid balance,

etc.) must all be taken into account when choosing the type and amount of fluid for a given patient at a given time.





1L glucose 5% – hypotonic







If everyone is thinking alike, then no one is thinking.

~ Benjamin Franklin

AZQUOTES

Lets consider some examples:

- 1. a 7 yr/o boy presented with lethargy, fever and found hypotensive in ER, he was hydrated with Over
- 2. an 11 yr/o following acute GI bleeding presented with $\rm JLOC$, and found hypotensive in ER,he was hydrated with Over
- 3. a 2 yr/o boy, a case of HLH, presented with edema, oliguria , and found hypotensive in ER, he was hydrated with Over
- 4. a 16 yr/o girl with the history of COVID-19 in her parents, presented with tachycardia, and found hypotensive, tachyarrythmia in ER, he was hydrated with Over
- 5. a 8 months/o boy following watery diarrhea......
- 6. ,a 9 yr/o boy,a case of CKD, presented with fever and lethargy, and found hypotensive in ER, so he was hydrated with Over



"Dose"

- Maintenance : 25 cc/Kg/day or 1 cc/Kg/hr
- Resuscitation :
- -EGDT
- -Fluid bolus



Resuscitation Fluids

Resuscitation fluids should save lives: Give them in appropriate dose and timing

- 4 mL/kg/10 minutes and repeat
- Re-assess fluid responsiveness before and after fluid bolus administration (eg, with passive leg raising test)
- · Set your targets appropriately to reach your goals
- Targets and goals are dynamic and can change during different phases





INTERNATIONAL EMERGENCY MEDICINE EDUCATION PROJECT

Procedure Pearls

IV CATHETER SIZES AND FLOW RATES



• A fluid bolus is the rapid infusion of fluids over a short period of time. In clinical practice, a fluid bolus is usually given to correct hypovolemia, hypotension, inadequate blood flow or impaired microcirculatory perfusion. The volume of fluid bolus is heterogeneous among clinicians, typically 500–1000 mL



Fluid and Vasoactive-Inotrope Management Algorithm For Children

Surviving Sepsis ··-Campaign •



© 2020 the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. All Rights Reserved.

Society or Critical Care Medicine



TABLE 2. Implications of the Strength of Recommendation

Category	Strength	Quality of Evidence	Implications to Patients	Implications to Clinicians	Implications to Policymakers
Strong recommendation	Strong	Usually high or moderate	Most individuals in this situation would want the recommended course of action, and only a small proportion would not	Most individuals should receive the recommended course of action. Formal decision aids are not likely to be needed to help individuals make decisions consistent with their values and preferences	Can be adapted as policy in most situations, including for use as performance indicators
Weak recommendation	Weak	Any	The majority of individuals in this situation would want the suggested course of action, but many would not	Different choices are likely to be appropriate for different patients, and therapy should be tailored to the individual patient's circumstances, such as patients' or family's values and preferences	Policies will likely be variable
Best practice statement	Strong	Ungraded	Same as strong recommendation	Same as strong recommendation	Same as strong recommendation
In our practice statement	Not a recommen- dation	NA	NA	NA	NA

Resuscitation or resurrection



• A recent study employing a combined Bayesian and frequentist methodological approach to evaluate 12 randomized trials and 31 observational studies found that EGDT was potentially harmful in the patients with the highest disease severity

• In fact, rather than infusing a <u>pre-defined</u> given amount of fluid, the goal should be <u>individualized</u> for every patient, based on the evaluation of the need for fluids and on the patient's premorbid conditions



Individualized management





When to stop IV fluids

- Static surrogates: parameters are often used to titrate fluid therapy(preload)
- -central venous pressure (CVP :8-12 mmHg)
- -Mean arterial pressure (MAP)
- -Urine output(>0.5-1 cc/KG/Hr)
- -Volumetric pre-load(GEDVI,RVEDVI,LVEDAI)
- Dynamic functional hemodynamic parameters
- -PPV(or SVV)
- Dynamic tests to predict response to IVF
- -PLR or EXOT



DURATION Definition

IV FLUIDS

- No strong evidence but trend towards shorter duration
- Don't use AB to treat fever, CRP, infiltrates,...
- but use AB to treat infections

- No strong evidence but trend towards shorter duration
- Don't use fluids to treat low CVP, MAP, UO,...
- but use fluids to treat shock

APPROPRIATE DURATION
D6:

De-Escalation: The R.O.S.E. Model



In this de-resuscitation phase, we try to find an answer to the third and fourth question: "When to start fluid removal?" and "When to stop fluid removal?" To answer these questions, testing *preload*

• *responsiveness* <u>may</u> still be useful.

Hemodynamic Assessment Fluid Overload



Slide by courtesy of @Manu_Malbrain @Fluid_Academy

When to start the 6th D?





Respiratory

Pulmonary edema ↑ Pleural effusion ↑ Altered pulmonary and chest wall elastance (cfr IAP ↑) paO2 ↓ paCO2 ↑ PaO2/FiO2 ↓ Extra vascular lung water 7 Lung volumes ↓ (cfr IAP ↑) Prolonged ventilation ↑ Difficult weaning ↑ Work of breathing↑

Hepatic

Hepatic congestion ↑ Impaired synthetic function Cholestatis ↑ Cytochrome P 450 activity ↓ Hepatic CS

Gastrointestinal/visceral

Ascites formation ↑ Gut edema Malabsorption ↑ Ileus ↑ Bowel contractility ↓ IAP ↑ and APP (=MAP-IAP) ↓ Success enteral feeding ↓ Intestinal permeability ↑ Bacterial translocation ↑ Splanchnic microcirculatory flow ↓ ICG-PDR ↓, pHi ↓

Central NS

Cerebral edema, impaired cognition, delirium ICP↑ CPP↓ IOP↑ ICH, ICS, OCS

Cardiovascular

Myocardial edema ↑ Conduction disturbance Impaired contractility Diastolic dysfunction CVP ↑ and PAOP ↑ Venous return ↓ SV ↓ and CO ↓ Myocardial depression Pericardial effusion ↑ GEF ↓ GEDVI ↑ CARS ↑

Renal

Renal interstitial edema Renal venous pressure ↑ Renal blood flow ↓ Interstitial pressure ↑ Salt + water retention↑ Uremia ↑ GFR ↓ RVR ↑ Renal CS

> Prowle J. Nat Rev 2010 Malbrain M. AIT 2014

Abdominal Wall

Fluid

Overload

Tissue edema ↑ Poor wound healing↑ Wound infection↑ Pressure ulcers ↑ Abdominal compliance ↓

Biochemical Assessment Fluid Overload

BNP and NT-pro-BNP

Decreased COP

Infection, inflammation

Increased CLI (CRP/alb)

Low osmolality

AKI, urinanalysis Urine albumin/creat ratio 个 Dilutional anemia

> Low albumin, protein

Radiological Assessment Fluid Overload









How do you call it? Fluid overload? Hypervolemia? Edema



Edema does not exclude hypovolemia!



D7:Discharge









4Questions 4Indications 4Phases 4DS MBER Treat Fluids as Orugs EFluid Academy

And WHA Drug - HOW MUCH Dose HOW LONG Duration

De-escalation WHEN



Theoretical distribution of intravenous fluids on infusion



body water

ightarrow = 1 litre

Fluid	Na⁺	K⁺	CI-	HCO ³⁻
Sweat	65	8	39	16
Gastric	20-100	5-10	120-160	0
Bile	150	5-10	40-80	20-40
lleal	140	5	105	40

Respiratory

Pulmonary edema ↑ Pleural effusion ↑ Altered pulmonary and chest wall elastance (cfr IAP ↑) paO2 ↓ paCO2 ↑ PaO2/FiO2 ↓ Extra vascular lung water ٦ Lung volumes ↓ (cfr IAP ↑) Prolonged ventilation ↑ Difficult weaning ↑ Work of breathing↑

Hepatic

Hepatic congestion ↑ Impaired synthetic function Cholestatis ↑ Cytochrome P 450 activity ↓ Hepatic compartment syndrome

Gastrointestinal/visceral

Ascites formation ↑ Gut edema ↑ Malabsorption ↑ Ileus ↑ Bowel contractility ↓ IAP ↑ and APP (=MAP-IAP) ↓ Success enteral feeding ↓ Intestinal permeability ↑ Bacterial translocation ↑ Splanchnic microcirculatory flow ↓ ICG-PDR ↓, pHi ↓

Abdominal Wall

Tissue edema ↑ Poor wound healing↑ Wound infection↑ Pressure ulcers ↑ Abdominal compliance ↓

Fluid

Overload

Central nervous system

Cerebral edema, impaired cognition, delirium ICP个 CPP↓ IOP个 ICH, ICS, OCS

Cardiovascular

Myocardial edema \uparrow Conduction disturbance Impaired contractility Diastolic dysfunction CVP \uparrow and PAOP \uparrow Venous return \checkmark SV \checkmark and CO \checkmark Myocardial depression Pericardial effusion \uparrow GEF \checkmark GEDVI \uparrow CARS \uparrow

Renal

Renal interstitial edema Renal venous pressure ↑ Renal blood flow ↓ Interstitial pressure ↑ Salt + water retention ↑ Uremia ↑ GFR ↓ RVR ↑ Renal CS

